How the climate crisis is driving inequality and eroding human rights.
The Environmental Justice Foundation Charitable Trust is a UK registered charity that believes we all share a basic human right to a secure natural environment.

EJF has teams based in Belgium, France, Germany, Ghana, Indonesia, Japan, Liberia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand and the UK. Our investigators, researchers, filmmakers and campaigners work with grassroots partners and environmental defenders across the globe.

Our work to secure environmental justice aims to protect our global climate, ocean, forests and wildlife and defend basic human rights.

Special thanks to all interviewees for their contribution to this report. All views expressed are those of EJF alone, and interviewees do not necessarily share the expressed views and interpretations.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The impacts of the climate crisis are deepening already existing vulnerabilities and inequalities within and across generations, communities and countries worldwide. Low-income, marginalised, discriminated and disenfranchised groups are disproportionately affected. Climate hazards, shocks and stresses are becoming more frequent and severe as global heating accelerates. Current measures and commitments are insufficient to limit global warming to 1.5°C, the limit needed to avert the worst climate disasters. Earth’s global surface temperature is already 1.1°C higher than the pre-industrialisation average. Currently, the world is set for a 2.7°C increase in temperature by the end of this century.

Even within the same region, not everyone will experience climate-related impacts in the same way. Certain populations are first and worst affected by the climate crisis. These include, but are not exclusive to, Indigenous peoples, children, women, migrants and displaced persons, persons with disabilities, ethnic minorities, persons with low income and LGBTQI+ persons. Intersecting forms of discrimination and marginalisation based on factors such as gender, age, income or social status significantly increase exposure and susceptibility, and undermine the ability to cope with and recover from climate effects. Inequalities are both cause and effect of climate vulnerability. This report examines the disproportionate impacts of the climate crisis on population groups in some of the major emitter countries as well as in those countries that have contributed least to the crisis.

The consequences of global heating are felt across the globe, and they are worsening: one billion children are currently at extremely high risk of climate impacts. In 2020, 928 million people worldwide were severely food insecure. Weather and natural disasters triggered 30.7 million new internal displacements in 2020. Up to 132 million additional people are at risk of extreme poverty by 2030 because of the climate crisis. Global heating threatens the full range of human rights. Among the affected rights are the right to life, health, food, adequate housing and cultural rights. Often various human rights are affected simultaneously as impacts unfold.

Centering human rights is key for effectively mitigating and adapting to the climate crisis. Conversely, mitigating and adapting to global heating, including meaningful frameworks for Loss & Damage, and biodiversity restoration are critical components to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and enabling climate resilient development. Climate, biodiversity and human rights policy are fundamentally interlinked, and one cannot succeed without the others.

Industrialised, wealthy countries in particular do not live up to their historical and current responsibilities. Insufficient, unjust and inadequate climate action disproportionately threatens vulnerable communities within and across their territories. The responsibility for the climate crisis is unevenly distributed. Emissions are unequal both between and within countries. Approximately 60% of greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) are produced by ten countries, whereas less than 3% come from the 100 least-emitting countries. The richest 10% of the global population generated 52% of the cumulative carbon emissions from 1990 to 2015, the poorest half only 7%. Yet, it is often the countries and the populations with the least historical responsibility for GHG emissions who are being impacted first and worst by the climate crisis. Climate action must urgently increase in speed and ambition, and it must take place within a framework of climate justice.

"Vulnerability of ecosystems and people to climate change differs substantially among and within regions, driven by patterns of intersecting socio-economic development, unsustainable ocean and land use, inequity, marginalization, historical and ongoing patterns of inequity such as colonialism, and governance."

IPCC Working Group II, Contribution to the AR6 Report.
The gap toward limiting global temperature increase to 1.5°C must be closed. Industrialised countries must act fastest and aim for real zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2035 at the latest, in line with the principle of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities, and based on the most up-to-date scientific knowledge. All measures should be based on just and effective ‘whole of government’ pathways, from phasing out fossil fuels to protecting and restoring nature-based solutions, and must not rely on misleading carbon accounting tricks or false solutions such as natural gas or unproven carbon capture and storage technologies.

All states must ensure that climate action is human rights consistent, both internationally and domestically, and that it benefits both people and planet. They must apply cross-sectoral, inclusive and transparent approaches to respect, protect and fulfil all human rights for all. They must ensure effective and comprehensive access to information, participation and remedy for human rights harms related to climate change impacts and practices.

Global climate action built upon principles of international climate justice is key to unlocking credible global cooperation and to rebuild trust. Industrialised countries must mobilise and allocate maximum available resources to provide scaled-up, accessible financial and technological support for mitigation, adaptation and loss and damage. Industrialised countries must at the very least deliver on the promised target of US$100 billion per year in international climate finance, set a higher post-2025 goal, provide at least 50% climate finance for adaptation, and establish a robust system with new and additional funding to address loss and damage. It is also crucial to protect the fundamental right to a safe home and to define the rights and obligations towards those being displaced by climate-related impacts.

Climate action will only be a success if it delivers for the most vulnerable. Crucially, this report’s concluding message is not about lost hope; it is about strengthening climate action to protect life on Earth and to provide justice for those who are disproportionately affected by global heating.

The report is informed by the current state of research and interviews conducted by EJF. It does not aim to be exhaustive and the information that we present does not address every dimension of the issue. However, it provides a perspective we collectively must act upon if we are to avert the worst impacts of the climate crisis.
The climate crisis is affecting every region across the globe. 2021 is the proof: heatwaves and wildfires from Europe to north-western America; floods from China to Sudan; and extreme storms from Central America to Mozambique. As such changes and extremes will unequivocally become more intense and frequent, they are increasingly threatening and violating the full range of human rights of millions of people today and in the future, such as the right to food, to health and the right to life.

While the breakdown of our climate will affect us all, not everyone will be affected in the same way. Growing and disproportionate impacts on low-income, marginalised, remote and disenfranchised communities around the world are deepening already existing vulnerabilities and inequalities: from the disproportionate vulnerability of Black, Indigenous and people of colour in the USA rooted in systemic racism; to the limited opportunities of India’s slum dwellers to cope with the effects of disasters; to small-scale farmers in southern Madagascar whose food security and livelihoods are threatened by the most severe drought in decades. Global heating and unjust or insufficient climate action are aggravating intersecting forms of marginalisation and discrimination against members of our societies. Voices of the most vulnerable have been ignored and disregarded for too long. It is time that their needs and demands be met.

The inherently transboundary nature of the global climate crisis means that our ability or failure to act decisively will affect us all, our futures are bound together. Yet, climate change is not being addressed as a crisis of justice and human rights. In 2020, greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere reached a new record – despite the pandemic – and the trend continued in 2021. As sea levels rise and the frequency and severity of floods and droughts increase, we are approaching apocalypse territory. Those countries and communities that have contributed the least to global heating are in most cases disproportionately adversely affected. For years, the less privileged, and the first and worst affected have called on industrialised states and major emitters to take responsibility for their failure to act with the urgency or ambition commensurate to the scale of the crisis and their historic responsibilities. There has never been a better understanding of the climate crisis and the science is clear: there is no alternative to a rapid, large-scale and sustained elimination of human-induced greenhouse gas emissions led by equitable action. Every tenth of a degree, every month, every measure counts.

“...the global climate crisis is a human rights emergency. You can look at the impacts of climate disruption on the right to life, the right to health, the right to food, the right to water, the right for a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The climate crisis is magnifying pre-existing threats to all of these human rights.”

David R. Boyd, UN Special Rapporteur on human rights and the environment

There is absolutely no doubt that the global climate crisis is a human rights emergency. You can look at the impacts of climate disruption on the right to life, the right to health, the right to food, the right to water, the right for a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. The climate crisis is magnifying pre-existing threats to all of these human rights.”

David R. Boyd, UN Special Rapporteur on human rights and the environment
Action to protect human rights, fight the climate crisis and conserve our environment is intrinsically linked: we will only succeed if we address them together. Respecting, protecting and fulfilling human rights can strengthen climate policies and action, bringing lasting wellbeing, prosperity and justice for all. An ambitious climate policy can pave the way towards a just and sustainable world. Climate action presents a valuable opportunity as it could protect our health, create millions of new jobs and could reduce extreme poverty. Industrialised countries and major emitters have the responsibility to deliver the resources needed. Climate action is about international social and economic justice, redressing the balance of power and combating human rights abuses. States must adopt ambitious ‘whole of government, society and economy’ approaches which integrate just climate action into every political portfolio. The international community must deliver on commitments made and make progress on centring people and areas who are most threatened by the climate crisis.
For a safe and just future for all, keep 1.5°C alive

The 26th United Nations Climate Change Conference of the Parties (COP26) has not met the needs of people and planet, and least of all, those of the most affected people already losing their livelihoods and lives to the climate crisis. The world is still off track to meet the goal to hold the increase of global average temperature below 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. Current policies, excluding proposals, are projected to result in about 2.7°C of warming. Models estimate that global temperatures will rise to a disastrous 2.4°C above pre-industrial levels by 2100 based on countries’ 2030 targets (premised that they are met and not considering long-term pledges). No single country that was analysed by Climate Action Tracker has sufficient short-term policies in place that are consistent with its real zero target. There remains a significant gap between commitments and real world action.

The Glasgow Climate Pact adopted at COP26 contains numerous important resolutions, but also countless loopholes. The Glasgow Climate Pact included strong language on the scientific facts and recognises that the consequences of global heating are much less at a temperature increase of 1.5°C than at 2°C. Countries also agreed to “phase down” coal-fired power and to start to eliminate “inefficient” subsidies on other fossil fuels. The mention of fossil fuels is unprecedented, but language was nonetheless weakened at the last minute. There is no chance of limiting global heating to 1.5°C or even 2°C without phasing out coal globally. While the recognition of fossil fuels in a COP decision was historic, it was only historic because of the weak precedent in previous decisions: without setting legally binding timebound rules for completely phasing out coal and other fossil fuels, and fossil fuel subsidies, keeping 1.5°C alive will be almost impossible. Still, the Glasgow Climate Pact calls on countries to review emissions reduction targets by end of 2022 prior to the next UN climate summit in Egypt, leaving open a door for civil society to hold governments accountable for more ambitious action.

The Glasgow Climate Pact also agrees to double the proportion of climate finance going to adaptation from 2019 levels by 2025 – but mitigation and adaptation funding are still not balanced to the 50:50 split called for by campaigners and vulnerable countries. The 12-year-old pledge of providing US$100 billion annually in climate finance will likely be reached three years late in 2023 according to the latest pledges – yet, even the US$100 billion target is not sufficient to meet the needs of the first and worst affected countries and communities. Further, although the Glasgow Climate Pact recognises the importance of action on loss and damage, wealthy countries blocked a funding facility to provide new and additional loss and damage finance for the most
vulnerable and first and worst affected communities.\textsuperscript{38} In a small advance, the Santiago Network, which coordinates measures in the event of loss and damage, received funds to finance the planning of reconstruction measures.\textsuperscript{38}

Additionally, several multi-nation initiatives were launched during the 2021 summit – including the Glasgow Leaders’ Declaration on Forests and Land Use, the Global Methane Pledge, the Statement on International Public Support for the Clean Energy Transition, and the Global Coal to Clean Power Transition Statement.\textsuperscript{40} But they are not binding, lack transparent mechanisms and detail, and their real-world impacts remain questionable until commitments are reflected in ambitious, sustainable and just actions on the ground. Beyond that, the pledges must go beyond existing national targets and stimulate additional action.\textsuperscript{41}

Despite progress made in Glasgow, the pledges do not close the gap to 1.5°C, and the climate summit did not deliver on rights or climate justice – although the UN Human Rights Council resolution recognising the right to a clean, healthy and sustainable environment.\textsuperscript{42} In the end, world leaders did not decisively call for the phasing out of all fossil fuels and fossil fuel subsidies.

Furthermore, some of the decisions taken may directly jeopardise rights of vulnerable communities, such as mechanisms for the expansion of the global carbon market which lack strong enough safeguards to protect Indigenous and local communities. Despite some language around the advancement of gender equality, youth inclusion and intergenerational justice, progress towards real climate justice in Glasgow was far from sufficient given the scale and urgency of the crisis.

What is more, mechanisms for the expansion of a regulated global carbon market lack strong enough safeguards to protect human rights of local and Indigenous communities, to prevent environmental destruction, and to ensure real emission reductions.\textsuperscript{43} And despite advancement of gender equality, and mentioning youth inclusion and intergenerational justice, progress is far from sufficient given the scale of the crisis and its unequal impacts.

The 1.5°C goal is not optional and incremental progress is not sufficient. A single climate conference cannot solve the crisis: we need radical new climate policies by the largest emitters grounded in the principle of common but differentiated responsibility. Strong human rights safeguards must be the very foundation of climate-related decisions to ensure a just transition and to protect the human rights of all, with the full participation of the most affected groups. Critically, all commitments must be translated into effective measures over this next decade. The scale of the twin crises of climate and biodiversity breakdown is a threat to human rights around the world.

Those responsible for the climate crisis must work hard to regain trust and immediately close the action and commitment gap. The CO2 budget is shrinking every year, every month, every week.\textsuperscript{44} There is significantly more momentum for climate action worldwide than ever before. World leaders need to harness this momentum to advance fair systemic changes and to keep 1.5°C alive.
The climate crisis is here

In the first part of its Sixth Assessment Report, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) warns that chances to limit global warming to 1.5°C are decreasing. Earth’s global surface temperature has already increased by around 1.1°C compared with the average between 1850 to 1900. 2020 was the hottest year on record, and it is likely to be topped within the next few years. As the planet heats, some regions are becoming uninhabitable, and scientists predict that we could reach tipping points when certain climate impacts cannot be stopped. For example, the unstable West Antarctic ice sheet is in danger of collapse and could significantly amplify global sea level rise.

The IPCC affirms an almost linear relation between cumulative anthropogenic CO2 emissions and global heating. Human activities have warmed the atmosphere, ocean and land. They drive glacier retreats and global sea level rise. Human influence significantly drives global ocean warming and the frequency and intensity of hot extremes. Further, it affects many weather and climate extremes worldwide, such as heavy precipitation events and droughts. Some impacts will be irreversible due to past and future emissions. But all hope is not lost – we can still limit the severity of the climate crisis. However, the only solution will be a transformational change of our economies and a large-scale and sustained reduction of human-induced greenhouse gas emissions. In that regard, it is essential that governments’ real zero targets do not rely on unrealistic, unjust and scientifically unproven industrial carbon removal schemes. Achieving real zero and securing a liveable future on our planet will depend on real emissions reductions and robust biodiversity protection and restoration, not misleading carbon accounting tricks.

The IPCC Sixth Assessment Report presents five illustrative emissions scenarios based on varying levels of GHG emissions – these are some of its projections:

- Global surface temperature will continue to increase until at least 2050 under all emissions scenarios. 1.5°C of warming is expected to be reached or exceeded within the next two decades compared to 1850-1900 global surface average temperature.

- Under the very low or low GHG emissions scenarios, rapid and sustained effects to limit anthropogenic global heating would be achieved. It is more likely than not that global surface temperature would decline back to below 1.5°C towards the end of the 21st century under the very low GHG emissions scenario.

- Under the intermediate GHG emissions scenario, global surface temperature averaged over 2081-2100 is very likely to increase by 2.1°C-3.5°C in the intermediate scenario, and by 3.3°C-5.7°C under the very high emissions scenario.

- The intensity and frequency of extremes become discernibly larger with every additional increase of global heating – from droughts to heavy precipitation. For example, drought events that occurred once in ten years on average across drying regions without anthropogenic global heating would occur twice in ten years with 1.5°C, 2.4 times with 2°C and 4.1 times with 4°C future global warming levels.

- Compared to 1995-2014, global mean sea level would rise by 0.28-0.55 m under the very low GHG emissions scenario, and by 0.63-1.01 m under the very high GHG emissions scenario by the end of the century.
I’ve travelled to places as far-flung as the tropical paradise of Fiji; I’ve been to visit the Sami people in northern Norway; I’ve spent time with pastoralists in Kenya and this climate crisis is just like a hammer to the poorest and most vulnerable people in all parts of the world. 

David R. Boyd, UN Special Rapporteur on human rights and the environment

SCIENCE IS CLEAR: global heating must be limited to 1.5°C. Compared with 2°C, this would result in:

- About 14% of the world’s population will be hit by severe heatwaves once every five years instead of approximately 37% at 2°C;
- Up to half the number of people affected by climate-caused water stress (with high variability between regions);
- Up to 10.4 million fewer people exposed to the impacts of sea level rise in 2100 (globally, based on 2010 global population and assuming no adaptation);
- Reduced risk of coastal flooding of Small Island Developing States by 20-80%;
- One sea-ice-free Arctic summer every 100 years instead of every 10 years.

Drought in Kenya: pastoralists living in the Ewaso Nigiro river basin in central Kenya are forced to dig for water. Credit: Denis Onyodi/KRCS (CC BY-NC 2.0)
Climate impacts 2020/2021

Every day brings new damming stories from every corner of the world. It is these types of events that the IPCC warns are increasing and intensifying - from more persistent and frequent weather extremes to slow-onset environmental degradation and change.

NORTH AMERICA

In 2021, the north-western areas of the USA and Canada experienced a megadrought with temperatures reaching far above 40°C in multiple places, an event practically impossible without global heating. A ‘heat dome’ sustained the heatwave, which caused illness and more than 600 deaths. The village of Lytton, British Columbia, was largely destroyed by a wildfire. Costs for the 2021 events are still being estimated. In 2020, the drought and heatwave in the western and central USA caused estimated costs of US$4.7 billion, and the western wildfires more than US$17 billion.

CHINA

In July 2021, Henan province experienced record-breaking rainfall (640 mm in three days) and flooding. 200,000 people were evacuated, and at least 300 people died. It affected at least 13.9 million people and displaced 1.47 million. The total economic cost of flooding was estimated at nearly US$25 billion.

RUSSIA

For the second consecutive July, Siberia’s taiga forests and the Russian Far East were affected by extreme wildfires resulting from record-breaking heat and drought. January-June 2020 was the warmest period since records began and the prolonged Siberian heat would have been virtually impossible without man-made climate change. 18.13 million hectares of forest has burnt down in Russia between January 1 and September 16, 2021. Wildfires in Russia as a whole released around 970 megatonnes of CO2 from June to August 2021, more than the annual CO2 emissions of Germany.

“People have been reporting more of the fires, but people are failing to connect what is actually going on. [...] they don’t speak of climate change, of land-use change, of political inaction. People don’t speak of any of the roots of the problem. They just document it as if it was totally disconnected from human activity.”

Luciana Leite, conservation biologist and volunteer firefighter, about the 2020 fires in the Pantanal, the world’s largest tropical wetland.
In 2021, Syria was hit by the worst drought in 70 years and Iraq by record low rainfall, which threatens more than 12 million people who face limited access to water and food. Syria & Iraq

The severe Tropical Cyclone Ana, one of three tropical cyclones at the end of January 2021, hit Fiji. More than 10,000 people sought shelter in evacuation centres. Fiji

In mid-July 2021, the Rhine basin in western Germany experienced precipitation records and a hundred-year flood which killed more than 180 people. It caused at least €7 billion of damage to insured property. Germany

In early April 2021, Cyclone Seroja killed at least 160 people and displaced more than 8,400 people in East Nusa Tenggara province. It caused more than US$400 million of economic loss in Indonesia and Timor-Leste. Indonesia

In August 2021, wildfires devastated the island of Evia and the Peloponnese region (Greece) after one of the most severe heatwaves since the 1980s. More than 110,000 hectares have burned in Greece in 2021. Western and southern Turkey counted more than 200 wildfires. At the end of July 2021, Lebanon’s north faced rapidly spreading wildfires. Greece, Italy, Turkey & Lebanon

In 2021 Madagascar’s southern region faces the worst drought in four decades. Seasonal rainfall was around 40-60% below average. More than 1.14 million people become food insecure. Losses in crop and livestock production are estimated to reach up to 60% in the most populous provinces. Madagascar

More than 16,000 Ethiopian refugees in Sudan’s eastern refugee settlements have been impacted after several weeks of storms throughout May to June. Thousands of family tents have been demolished. Sudan

In April 2021, Typhoon Surigae was the strongest Northern Hemisphere typhoon in terms of maximum wind speed ever recorded globally to form before May. The economic loss is estimated to exceed US$10 million in the Philippines. Micronesia, Palau & Philippines

Tropical Cyclone Eloise affected more than 175,000 people and over 8,000 homes were destroyed or damaged in January 2021. It caused tens of millions of US dollars in economic loss. Mozambique

In January 2021 heavy rainfall caused severe flooding. 50,000 people were evacuated. Malaysia

The worst drought in 91 years hits several regions of Brazil in 2021. Water levels on the Paraná River are more than eight meters below average in the Brazil and Paraguay border region. The economic loss is estimated at more than US$3 billion. Brazil
GREENLAND
In August 2021, Greenland experienced record-breaking rain. It also rained at the highest point of Greenland for the first time in recorded history. The world's second largest ice sheet is losing mass faster than any other time in the past 12,000 years.

OMAN & IRAN
In October 2021, Tropical Cyclone Shaheen killed at least 13 people and flooded streets. 5,000 people had to be evacuated in Oman. In Oman, total economic losses were estimated to be in the hundreds of millions.

NICARAGUA, HONDURAS & GUATEMALA
2020 was the most active Atlantic hurricane season on record fuelled by a warming ocean. Hurricanes Eta and Iota affected more than 7.5 million people. In Nicaragua landslides and floods displaced thousands of people. More than one million people were evacuated in Honduras. In Central America alone, Eta and Iota caused more than US$8 billion in economic loss.

AUSTRALIA
Early 2020, the Great Barrier Reef experienced its hottest February as well as the most widespread bleaching event on record, with 60% of reefs affected. The same year, Australia’s south-eastern region suffered bushfires which killed almost three billion wild animals. The economic loss of the bushfires between November 2019-January 2020 is estimated at US$5 billion and the insured loss at US$1.1 billion.

ANTARCTICA
On 6 February 2020, a new record high temperature of 18.3°C was observed at the Esperanza station, Argentina.

INDIA, BANGLADESH AND SRI LANKA
In 2020 Cyclone Amphan was one of the strongest storms on record in the Bay of Bengal. The economic loss in South Asia amounted to US$15 billion, making it the costliest tropical cyclone of the year. It affected 10 million people in Bangladesh.
GREATER HORN OF AFRICA & YEMEN

In 2020, the Greater Horn of Africa and Yemen experienced the largest locust outbreak in 25 years, a problem exacerbated by global heating. In early 2020, the World Bank estimated that in Africa alone, more than 90 million hectares of cropland and pasture were at risk. Possible damages and losses were predicted to reach US$9 billion in coming years.

JAPAN

Record-breaking rains, floods and landslides in July 2020 on the island of Kyushu caused more than 70 fatalities, and over 200,000 people were ordered to evacuate. The economic loss exceeded US$8.5 billion. In August 2021, roughly 1.4 million people within western Japan were called to evacuate due to severe rain and subsequent floods and landslides.

PAKISTAN

Extreme monsoon rains in 2020 caused over 400 deaths and damaged or destroyed more than 200,000 homes. This caused economic losses of US$1.5 billion.

SOUTH AMERICA

In 2020, thousands of fires tore across South America. In Brazil, over 222,000 fires burned and more than 74,000 in Argentina. The world’s largest tropical wetland, the Pantanal, lost almost 30% of its biome in 2020. The total damage from the severe drought of 2020 in Brazil was estimated at US$3 billion. 2021 South America had its warmest September record.

GLOBAL

July 2021 was the hottest month in recorded history.

ARCTIC

In July 2021, Arctic sea ice extent was 18.8% below the 1981-2010 average.

July 2021 was the hottest month in recorded history.
Unequal climate impacts

The consequences of global heating are experienced worldwide, but to different extents within and across countries due to varying exposure, susceptibility and ability to cope with and recover from effects. Global heating is a universal threat, but evidence is clear that the climate crisis disproportionately affects low-income, marginalised, discriminated and disenfranchised people around the globe. In most countries the underlying issues of inequity, marginalisation and discrimination will mean that not everyone will experience climate effects in the same way. For example, people in the bottom half of income distribution in Mexico are 80% more likely to die because of temperature shocks. Globally, at least one billion workers experience high heat episodes, and heat stress particularly affects low-income populations who are less able to adapt and who represent a high proportion of those working outdoors or in manual work.

In many cases, the most vulnerable have fewer opportunities and choices to adapt and recover from climate effects. Vulnerable populations might live in low-lying coastal areas or in deltas, with houses that cannot withstand extreme weather, or they might have less money to spend on recovery and adaptation. Moreover, they often lack access to fundamental services, from healthcare to social protection. Beyond that, in the aftermath of climate-related hazards, marginalised communities often do not receive the support they urgently need.

For example, the increasing intensity of extreme weather events disproportionally affects HIV-positive women and children. In 2019, Cyclone Idai caused heavy rains and storms in eastern Zimbabwe and affected over 270,000 people. At least 14,000 children and 10,000 pregnant or breastfeeding women living with HIV who are reliant on permanent health services resided in the affected districts. Destroyed infrastructure or displacement prevented many from receiving necessary antiretroviral treatment in time. The likelihood and magnitude of harm, loss and disruption and the effects of climate action are strongly shaped by country contexts, including governance, finance and conflict.

Moreover, geography is a key factor shaping the exposure to stressors affected and caused by climate change. For example, Pacific Island states are among the most climate vulnerable states worldwide as they suffer acutely from extreme weather events, rising sea levels, ocean acidification and heat, although their share in global emissions is vanishingly small. Furthermore, eight of the ten countries that were most affected by extreme weather events in 2019 are low- to lower-middle-income countries and half of them are LDCs. Between 1995 and 2014, 89% of all storm-related deaths occurred in lower-middle-income countries. In 2020, most new displacements caused by weather disasters, including tropical cyclones, monsoon rains and floods, were recorded within East and South Asia and the Pacific.
Cities in Asia face severe risks from global heating. According to a risk assessment conducted by business risk analyst Verisk Maplecroft, 80% of the world’s 100 cities most vulnerable to environmental hazards are in India or China. It ranks 13 of India’s cities among the world’s 20 cities most at risk from environmental hazards, particularly pollution, extreme heat and water stress. More than 460 million Indians live in urban areas. Population growth in combination with poor governance, poverty, pollution, decreasing water supplies, heatwaves, natural disasters and poor infrastructure significantly increases disaster risks. Marginalised and low-income communities are disproportionately at risk as they depend on outdoor work or live in settlements lacking in risk-reducing infrastructure.

In India, around 35% of the urban population lives in so-called slums and at least 1.7 million people are homeless. Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, who are historically among the most marginalised groups, constitute a disproportionate share of deprived households. Most slum households face multiple housing deprivations including hindered access to basic facilities, from water to durable housing. This exacerbates vulnerability to heat waves, water shortages, shifts in monsoon and rainfall patterns and floods. India is one of the most unequal countries globally. Research suggests that more resource-efficient, low-carbon development, for example ending public support for coal or committing to a date when it would hit peak coal, could significantly contribute to benefits such as increased economic diversification and creation of new jobs, poverty reduction and decreased air pollution and thus also reduce inequalities. India's per capita carbon footprint is lower than the world’s average, but the size of its population means that the country has a significant influence over the global climate. At COP26, India pledged to cut emissions to real zero by 2070, reduce carbon emissions by one billion tonnes by 2030, and to secure 50% of energy from renewable resources by 2030.

Tamil Nadu is among the most urbanised states in India. The civil society organisation Information and Resource Centre for Deprived Urban Communities (IRCDUC) reports that many communities within informal and low-income settlements face caste-based and class-based discrimination and stigmatisation.

"Most of the deprived urban communities across the country live in precarious conditions because of the historical process of marginalisation. You'll find the poor and deprived often living near water bodies [...]. Despite being in that area for a long time and having a social network, all of this is not recognised, and they are termed encroachers."

Vanessa Peter, founder of IRCDUC
Verisk Maplecroft rates Chennai, capital of the southern state Tamil Nadu, as the third highest risk city globally with regard to its exposure to environmental and climate-related threats. Projections for 2075 show that changes in surface temperature and moisture convergence are likely to increase in future climate conditions. This would result in a rise in atmospheric instability, the intensity and frequency of extreme rainfall, and severe floods. It is estimated that by 2050, 36 million people in India could be affected by projected sea level rise which would push average annual floods above land.

At the end of 2015, Chennai was impacted by severe floods after the heaviest rains in more than a century. The disaster was mainly caused by a single day of extreme precipitation. Its impacts, particularly on the majority of urban poor living along riverbanks, were magnified by failures to adequately and proactively regulate the city’s reservoirs and mitigate the floods. Although research indicates that this particular one-day event cannot be attributed to anthropogenic climate change, it illustrates the risks the city faces and the nature of its vulnerability to climatic events. It is crucial to understand these vulnerabilities as they will be exacerbated by changing rain patterns and more frequent extreme events such as heatwaves, storms and floods.

In the aftermath of the 2015 disaster, the then Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu announced resettlements to sites located on the outskirts of Chennai. Consequently, the Government of Tamil Nadu carried out massive evictions and resettlements of flood-affected inhabitants of informal settlements and slums near the banks of Cooum and Adyar Rivers. This process illustrates how development policies do not address the vulnerabilities of the urban poor. Officially, they served ecological restoration, rehabilitation and climate adaptation. In reality, they manifested existing structural marginalisation and added new risks. IRCDUC reports that families have been resettled to sites which are also located in lowland, ecologically sensitive areas and lack basic infrastructure. The resilience of resettled communities to climatic extremes as well as their livelihoods were therefore not improved. Policies do not appropriately address the heterogenous vulnerability of poor communities and harm basic human rights.

"Regarding communities which are affected by climate change, including floods and droughts, we find that interventions often do not value the communities’ dignities. Relief is often viewed as a charity and not as a right of the communities. [...] People are not being given the legal opportunity to be heard.”

Vanessa Peter (IRCDUC)

The violation of legal safeguards, inadequate resettlement processes and absent due processes can deprive communities of their livelihoods, which are often location-dependent and attached to long established social networks. Thus, affected communities are at risk of further marginalisation – for example, due to loss of work or dropping out of school after relocation. Among the vulnerable groups, many women already face discrimination, for instance over access to basic resources or gender-based violence. Low-caste women especially often lack access to adequate housing. In some Indian slums, women’s groups and community organisations have started to hold the government accountable for ensuring their most basic rights and providing access to basic services. Homeless people are also highly vulnerable as they are often first affected during disasters and extreme weather periods.

"The entire issue of vulnerable communities is heterogeneous, however the interventions are not heterogeneous. [...] Unless there is a comprehensive approach, vulnerabilities will not be addressed. [...] What you do for coastal villages is not what you do for the urban homeless. What you do for the urban homeless is not what you do for slum dwellers.”

Vanessa Peter (IRCDUC)
Almost 90% of the global burden of climate change-related disease is borne by children under the age of five.

80% of people displaced by global heating are female.
Such inequalities are rooted in and reinforced by social, cultural, economic, historical and political contexts, relations and practices. They shape the distribution of resources and power, as well as the access to public and private utilities, and social safety nets.

"Every person matters in this world. It doesn’t matter if you are rich, if you are black, if you are white. The thing is you need Mother Earth to live on. If we burn it, we are also finished."

Flaida Macheze, Gender Officer for the National Farmers Union, Mozambique

As a first step, Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) and climate measures should specifically mention disproportionately vulnerable groups and the specific risks they face as well as implement strategies to support and build up resilience to climate impacts. An intersectional approach can strengthen equitable climate action by integrating the diversity within populations as well as vulnerability-shaping factors. Crucially, the inherent agency, decision-making power, knowledge, social practices and ambitions of people should be centred and built upon. Populations most affected must be provided with the resources they need to adapt, recover and participate in decision-making processes. Targeted interventions should use flexible and inclusive approaches regarding dynamic vulnerabilities and socio-economic or political developments; measure and monitor climate impacts, risk factors as well as progress and effectiveness of climate and human rights action; and empower local organisations and foster cooperation between relevant stakeholders. A fundamental principle of the UN’s 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development is to “leave no one behind” and to address the needs of those furthest behind first.

It must be asked, “Whose needs are being met, who is being left out, and how can this be changed?”

Woman and child wade through flood waters in east Jakarta, Indonesia. Credit: Kompas/ Hendra A Setyawan / World Meteorological Organization. (CC BY-NC-ND 2.0)
CLIMATE CHANGE AND VULNERABLE POPULATIONS: EXAMPLES

Social groups who are in many cases among the first and worst affected include, but are not exclusive to, Indigenous peoples, children, women and girls, migrants and displaced people, people with disabilities, people of colour, ethnic minorities, low income communities, and LGBTQI+ people. There are no ‘one-size-fits-all’ solutions: climate and development policy must place human rights at their core and empower the leadership of affected communities.

WOMEN AND GIRLS:

Systemic and intersectional discrimination against women and girls can result in social, economic and political barriers. Gender-based violence, financial constraints, being confined to certain roles or lacking land rights restrict access to justice, to financial assets, education or decision-making processes. Beyond that, women are particularly affected by extreme poverty. In cases where women and girls are displaced or forced to migrate in the aftermath of disasters, the likelihood that their human rights will be harmed increases due to unstable and unsafe living conditions in transit or at relocation sites. They are also disproportionately vulnerable to human trafficking and modern slavery and the climate crisis is increasing this risk. Other aspects of gender identity must be considered as well as traits and factors like social status or ethnicity.

PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES:

Globally, an estimated one billion people (15% of the global population) experience some form of disability. Poverty, discrimination or lack of access to basic services can shape their vulnerability to climate change impacts. As persons living with disabilities might have lower income or rely on established social networks, the effects of slow- or sudden-onset disasters can dramatically affect their food security, access to health care and adequate work. However, not only are their needs often not adequately met, but in many cases they are also excluded from consultations on climate-related action, such as disaster relief strategies.

PEOPLE LIVING IN POVERTY:

People living in poverty often find themselves in precarious working conditions and lacking access to adequate housing or social safety nets, undermining their capacity to prepare for, adapt to and cope with climate and environmental changes. Direct impacts, such as the loss of crop yields due to flooding, therefore hit them particularly hard as their livelihoods are more exposed to such impacts. As a result, they are disproportionately vulnerable to food insecurity, disease, displacement and death. Global heating will increase poverty and inequality and subsequently, vulnerabilities. Without significant climate action, up to 132 million additional people are at risk of extreme poverty by 2030.

CHILDREN:

According to the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), approximately one billion children live in extremely high-risk countries. 820 million children are currently highly exposed to heatwaves and 920 million are highly exposed to water scarcity. Impacts overlap and are likely to worsen as global heating unfolds. Among the high-risk countries are the Central African Republic, Chad, Nigeria and Guinea, which have comparatively high levels of displacement. The top ten countries on UNICEF’s index emit only 0.55% of global emissions with a population of 502 million. Children are physically, psychologically and physiologically more vulnerable and more prone to diseases. Climate-related shocks, stresses and hazards can deteriorate their life in the long run. Improving access to health and nutrition services through investments could considerably reduce overall climate risk for 460 million children and investing in the reduction of exposure to coastal flooding can reduce climate risk for 525 million children.
Smoke in their lungs: California’s undocumented immigrant community on the frontlines of the climate crisis

In summer 2021, the North American west faced a historic heatwave, extreme drought and wildfires. Approximately 93% of the US West is currently suffering from drought, with an estimated population of almost 59 million people living in drought areas. California Governor Gavin Newsom declared a drought emergency in 43 of the state’s 58 counties. California has one of the hottest climates in the US, experiencing some of the highest temperatures recorded on Earth. Global heating is causing significant changes to California’s climate. While temperatures are increasing across the entire southwest United States, this is especially noticeable in southern California, where temperatures have risen by 1.7°C in the last century. Heatwaves, lack of rainfall and declining snowpack are increasingly more common, threatening water supply across the region and contributing to longer and more severe droughts.

The climate crisis is already here. It’s showing up in the heat waves, the drought, the wildfires.

Lucas Zucker is Policy and Communications Director at Central Coast Alliance United for a Sustainable Economy (CAUSE)

Higher temperatures and drought have greatly increased wildfires in California. It is estimated that the area burned by wildfires across the western US between 1984-2015 was double the area that would have burned without climate change. Exacerbated by rising global temperatures, wildfires will become more frequent and more severe, with dire consequences for human health, property and livelihoods. Greater wildfire risks will not only pose severe security risks to local populations, but they will also cause significant landscape changes, expanding deserts and creating more arid conditions across the region.

In 2018, nearly 11 million undocumented immigrants resided in the United States. The highest number of these individuals – some 2.6 million in 2018 – live in California, making up over 6% of the state’s total population. About 78% of California’s undocumented population comes from Mexico and Central America, with 64% originating from Mexico alone. The vast majority of this number – about 77% of undocumented immigrants in California – speak Spanish at home. While undocumented immigrant communities are spread across the entire state, their numbers are particularly high in major urban and agricultural areas. In Los Angeles, Santa Barbara and Ventura counties, undocumented immigrants make up at least 9% of the counties’ total populations. Moreover, the counties that host the largest populations of undocumented immigrants are often also the ones most frequently devastated by wildfires.

Immigration deeply intersects with climate. Migrants are often excluded from the safety nets that are most important to protect people from climate change. We have millions of people in the US without status, who are systematically excluded from all kinds of benefits, whether that’s healthcare, whether that’s unemployment insurance, and at every step of the way facing threats to the loss of their livelihoods from natural disasters or longer-term disruptions in the industries that they work in.

Lucas Zucker (CAUSE)
When wildfires occur, California’s undocumented immigrants are among the region’s most vulnerable populations to the impacts. This is because undocumented immigrants are generally excluded from government emergency response and relief efforts. When disaster hits, the same government assistance available to California’s documented residents is not available to its undocumented ones, precisely because of their immigration status. Undocumented communities are often also restricted by language and cultural barriers, which significantly limit their access to vital information in emergencies.

The 2017 Thomas Fire in Ventura and Santa Barbara counties is a prime example of the exclusions and vulnerabilities that undocumented immigrant populations experience in the face of climate-induced disasters. At the time, the Thomas Fire was the largest fire in California since the state started keeping records in 1932, burning more than 280,000 acres, destroying over a thousand homes and structures, and killing two people.

The Latino community, undocumented immigrants and low-income workers were all confronted with some of the worst challenges, both during and after the Thomas Fire. The ability of these communities to keep safe during the Thomas Fire was significantly limited, as warnings and information regarding evacuations, shelters, health and other safety measures were initially only available in English. Spanish translations were poorly accessible, despite Latinos making up between 43-46% of the affected counties’ total populations.

What we saw during the wildfires [...] is immigrant communities really being left out of the critical safety information about power shutoffs, about unsafe drinking water, about evacuation orders.

Lucas Zucker (CAUSE)

Thousands of low-income immigrant farmworkers were at particular risk in the aftermath of the fires. Smoke polluted the air for weeks and was especially hazardous to those who had to continue performing manual labour outdoors. Excluded from government emergency aid and disaster unemployment assistance due to their immigration status, undocumented workers could not afford to stop working.

The unequal impacts of the Thomas Fire illustrate how climate vulnerability is exacerbated by other justice-related issues, including immigration status. They predict how the escalation of the climate crisis will continue to harm first and worst the US’ already marginalised communities who benefit the least from our carbon-heavy economies.

Because the very same communities that have been impacted by the extractive industries, by the oil and gas industry, by industrial agriculture, [...] are the very same communities that are going to be most impacted by the climate threats that we’re facing. [...] I hope that global climate policy making is really rooted in the needs of Indigenous and migrant communities that are systematically excluded and marginalised, that I think have not been centred in the conversation on climate change. They have a lot to say and a lot of leadership to contribute.

Lucas Zucker (CAUSE)
Drought in southern Madagascar

At least 1.3 million people are experiencing acute food insecurity due to the most severe drought in southern Madagascar for four decades. The UN warns of a climate change famine. In the Great South, considered the country’s poorest region, roughly 95% of its population relies on agriculture, livestock and fishing. Successive years of severe drought as well as failure of rains, high-intensity cyclones, semi-arid conditions, high levels of soil erosion and agricultural pests destroy harvests and decrease food production, which is expected to be less than 40% of the last five-year average in 2021. Due to its location, Madagascar regularly faces extreme weather-related natural disasters, including droughts and floods, as well as cyclones. They displace tens of thousands of Madagascans each year, further damaging livelihoods, health and food insecurity. The socio-economic impacts of Covid-19 add just another layer to this crisis.

“Most Madagascans work in agriculture, more than 80%. But there is no social safety net. There is corruption. It’s tough. Many Madagascans are not only poor but increasingly suffer extreme weather events. The population is not resilient. Southern Madagascar is a dry place, but people lived there for years. But now, many people die because of the drought, children are undernourished.”

Dr. Tsiry Rakotoarisoa, German Climate Foundation

The Great South naturally experiences great rainfall variability. In two consecutive years, it received only 60% of its usual rainfall. Droughts are complex extreme events, and it is difficult to conclusively attribute them to anthropogenic climate change. However, the food crisis in southern Madagascar shows how pre-existing vulnerabilities – such as reliance on rain-fed agriculture and poverty – already interact with today’s climate variability. Droughts will likely increase in the region as global mean temperature continues to increase.

Children, rural communities dependent on subsistence agriculture and rain-fed crops, and people with illnesses are among the most affected members of society. According to the World Food Programme with reference to an assessment conducted by the Ministry of Health, the Global Acute Malnutrition level in children under five has almost doubled in early 2021. 500,000 children under five are currently at risk of acute malnutrition.

“In southern Madagascar it was extremely dry. [...] I saw the misery of the people. They didn’t have food; they ate cactuses for water. But now it got even worse. [...] Most people from the South lack resources to move. Climate change exacerbates poverty and hunger. Madagascar is an island, it’s isolated. It becomes almost like a prison. You can’t leave. You have to try to survive on the island.”

Dr. Tsiry Rakotoarisoa

Many children drop out of school as they have to support their parents in acquiring food. Madagascar has one of the highest poverty rates globally: 75% of the population was estimated to live below the international poverty line of US$1.90. Of the nearly 14 million children, 9.4 million were considered multidimensionally poor in 2018 (children who had deprivations in at least two dimensions of well-being) and 11.6 million were living below the monetary poverty line, according to UNICEF. It also states that the rate of extreme poverty is twice as high in rural areas as in urban areas. Due to pandemic-related economic recessions, these rates are likely to rise. The government will have less resources available to respond to global heating and its impacts – leaving the population more vulnerable to climate-related risks.
As the climate crisis unfolds, the incidence of climate sensitive diseases, for example malnutrition and malaria, increase. Although children are particularly affected because of their developing physiology, many policy and programme documents do not refer to this heightened vulnerability.

In 2019, Madagascar emitted 4.01 million tonnes of CO2. In the same year, Germany emitted 701.96 million tonnes, Australia 411.02 tonnes and the United Kingdom 369.88 million tonnes. China emitted 10.16 billion tonnes and the USA 5.28 billion tonnes.

“It’s about climate justice. [...] Every year there are storms, droughts, heavy rains, floods. It’s deeply unjust because Madagascar didn’t contribute to global heating. [...] But climate change is more than greenhouse gas emissions. It has social and political roots. We must think about history, about colonialism and its lasting impacts on societies, economies and politics. We still feel it today. [...] To combat global heating, we have to go deeper.”

Dr. Tsiry Rakotoarisoa

A human rights crisis

Global heating is an injustice multiplier and threatens the full range of human rights. Among the affected rights are the right to life, safety, self-determination, development, health, food, water, adequate housing, and cultural rights. Often various human rights are affected at the same time or as impacts unfold. The development, implementation and enforcement of climate and environmental policies, practices and laws must enshrine equality, equity, non-discrimination and participatory decision-making, especially for the traditional custodians and benefit bearers of natural resources. Otherwise, the climate crisis will continue to exacerbate inequalities within and across borders.

Benefits for our climate and environment can turn into benefits for peoples’ human rights, and vice versa. Principles and standards of international human rights can set the agenda towards a fair transition to sustainable societies that leaves no one behind and empowers rights-holders. Human rights-consistent climate action can, for example, help to recognise discrimination and to create benefits for all through meaningful engagement and empowerment. This can result in a higher effectiveness of measures.

“[Human] rights obligations, standards and principles have the potential to inform and strengthen international, regional and national policymaking in the area of climate change, promoting policy coherence, legitimacy and sustainable outcomes.”

UN Human Rights Council resolution 41/21

The potential contribution of ambitious and rights-based climate policies consistent with the 1.5°C target can also reduce extreme poverty and inequalities, for instance through redistribution of national carbon pricing revenues and transparent, targeted international climate finance. Beyond that, the health of ecosystems is inextricably linked to the well-being of local communities. The right to a healthy environment especially – recognised by the UN Human Rights Council as a human right (Resolution 48/13) – can be a promising pathway towards a healthier and sustainable future if countries effectively adopt and implement this right in national legislation and policies. It can catalyse action such as stronger environmental laws or increased public participation, and therefore serve towards better human rights outcomes.
EXAMPLES OF HUMAN RIGHTS AFFECTED BY GLOBAL HEATING

THE RIGHT TO FOOD: The Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights enshrine the fundamental right to food. Global heating affects all dimensions of food security: availability, accessibility, adequacy and sustainability. In combination with other stressors such as economic shocks and protracted conflict, it exacerbates already existing fragilities. Low-income and already vulnerable populations are most affected. In 2019, an estimated three billion people worldwide could not access healthy diets due to high costs and income inequality. Small-scale farmers disproportionately suffer from climate impacts, particularly populations within developing countries who are heavily reliant on smallholder farming. A 2020 study found that small-scale farmers in developing countries receive only 1.7% of climate finance, despite their livelihoods being heavily climate-sensitive and the fact that they produce 55% of global calories. About three quarters of the extreme poor live in rural areas, but low adaptive capacity and inadequate and inefficient national policy approaches to rural regions and specific social situations often increase risks. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) estimates that globally, up to 928 million people were severely food insecure in 2020 – an increase of 148 million compared to 2019.

“With the flood, a lot of houses were completely destroyed. More than half a million people are displaced. More than 100 are dead already and more than 460 are injured. Here in Sudan, everything depends on natural resources, so when something big like this happens it will affect food security, it will affect economic security [...]”

Nisreen Elsaim, chair of Sudan Youth Organization on Climate Change

CULTURAL RIGHTS: Almost 15% of the global poor are Indigenous peoples, despite constituting just 5% of the world’s population. Up to 80% of Indigenous peoples live across the most climate vulnerable regions of Asia and the Pacific. A lack of legal protections exposes Indigenous peoples and local communities to land grabbing, illegal or forced expropriation of resources, illegally set fars for land clearing, dispossession and displacement. Sea level rise puts the cultural survival of entire peoples at risk. The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples states, “Indigenous peoples have the right to maintain, control, protect and develop their cultural heritage, traditional knowledge and traditional cultural expressions, as well as the manifestations of their sciences, technologies and cultures.” In addition, the Glasgow Climate Pact emphasizes the important role of Indigenous peoples and the Paris Agreement outlines that adaptation measures “should be based on and guided by the best available science and, as appropriate, traditional knowledge, knowledge of Indigenous peoples and local knowledge systems.”

“Our way of living is to make the most of the little that Mother Nature gives us to survive. We’re not looking for how to be rich men. But we are the ones who suffer the consequences of what others do.”

Yuri Israel Lampson, Indigenous youth leader about climate change and Eta and Iota hurricanes

THE RIGHT TO HEALTH AND THE RIGHT TO LIFE: Global heating affects the right to enjoy the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health through various channels, including weather extremes such as heat, changes in natural systems and subsequent disease vectors, and through impacts on food production. Climate and environmental change will deepen already existing health inequalities. Between 2005 and 2015, more than 700,000 people died and over 1.4 million were injured as a consequence of disasters. Globally, more than five million deaths were associated with non-optimal temperatures annually, with over half of excess deaths occurring in Asia, particularly in low-lying and populous coastal cities in East and South Asia. If the world’s temperature reaches 2°C, one billion people would face extreme heat, according to the UK Met Office. The environmental and climate crisis will also increase the risk of infectious diseases and undermine efforts to control diseases such as dengue. Children especially will be affected – children under five years old have suffered 88% of diseases linked to climate change. In comparison to a future without climate change, the WHO predicts 95,000 additional deaths due to childhood undernutrition and 60,000 due to malaria in the year 2030 alone. Migrants also face particular climate-related health risks, such as food and water security, changing patterns of infectious diseases or access to health care.
LOSS AND DAMAGE

The international community must act to deliver large-scale, commensurate support to avert, minimise and address loss and damage caused by sudden and slow-onset effects. These can be economic and non-economic: including the loss of livelihoods, property or biodiversity. Climate-related disasters occurring between 2000-2019 almost doubled those within the period of 1980-1999. Estimated costs of loss and damage vary: one estimate suggests that economic costs in developing countries could amount to between US$290 billion to US$580 billion annually by 2030. Most industrialised countries are still reluctant to take responsibility - progress in providing resources and assistance has been slow. At COP26, civil society and developing nations argued that the issue of loss and damage can no longer be ignored, yet industrialised states still refuse commitments to fully support a funding facility for loss and damage. Wealthy countries must urgently commit to the establishment of a robust system and programmes to address loss and damage. It is fundamental that they act upon the uneven distribution of risks, address marginalisation and discrimination and support those displaced by loss and damage. Governments must leverage innovative fiscal and monetary policies to achieve sufficient funding levels.

Globally, more than five million deaths were associated with non-optimal temperatures annually, with over half of excess deaths occurring in Asia, particularly in low-lying and populous coastal cities in Eastern and Southern Asia.

Man and women climbing up the eroded riverbank, Jhalakhali, Dacope, Bangladesh
DISPLACEMENT: FALLING THROUGH THE CRACKS

Everyone deserves the right to a safe home, but the climate crisis is increasingly displacing people. According to the Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC), weather and natural disasters – largely storms and floods – triggered 30.7 million new internal displacements in 2020. Although this is likely to be an underestimate due to incomplete data, it is the highest number recorded in a decade and in line with the steady rise over the past few years. In India alone, more than 3.8 million people have been internally displaced in 2020, mostly due to weather-related disasters. China counted more than 1.7 million new displacements. According to conservative estimates of the World Bank, climate change could cause over 216 million people in six regions to migrate within their own countries by 2050 if no effective climate and development action is taken. This is likely to be most prevalent in the poorest and most climate-vulnerable rural, urban, and coastal regions. Key impacting factors are water scarcity, lower crop productivity, sea level rise, storm surge, heat stress, extreme events and land loss. It also estimates that up to 80% of internal displacement by 2050 could be avoided with ambitious climate action. It must be noted that the figures do not reflect cross-border movements because of limited global data.

“It’s quite common to see people initially displaced internally even several times. And then finally across borders. [...] Human rights law must be applied here to protect the rights and needs of all displaced internally and across borders.”

Amali Tower, founder and executive director of Climate Refugees

Around 70% of the most climate-vulnerable countries are also among the most fragile, characterised by weak government institutions and/or low levels of state legitimacy. They can catalyse drivers of conflict such as poverty, decreased access to resources or social inequalities. The combination of conflict and disasters can result in frequent and repeated displacements and can increase, prolong or protract emergency situations. According to the UN Environment Programme, at least 40% of internal conflicts display links to environmental degradation over the previous decades.

Climate refugees still lack specific recognition and protection within international law. Legal pathways remain limited, inadequate and too often uncertain. The international community has obligations to protect those who flee or migrate in the context of climate change impacts. A new legally binding international agreement is needed to protect those who are displaced by the climate crisis. This instrument is crucial to provide them with definition and status, to define rights and obligations, and to coordinate and combine our actions so that they are truly effective in protecting the most fundamental human right to a safe home.
Nowhere to stay? The displacement risk in Bangladesh

Due to Bangladesh’s natural susceptibility to extreme weather, its people have long used migration as a coping strategy. However, as conditions intensify under global heating, increasing numbers of people are being driven from their homes by more frequent and severe hazards. Sea level rise, storms, cyclones, drought, erosion, landslides, flooding and salinisation are already displacing large numbers of people. By 2050, one in every seven people in Bangladesh could be displaced by climate change, estimates suggest. Sea temperatures in the Bay of Bengal have significantly increased, which scientists believe has caused Bangladesh to suffer some of the fastest recorded sea level rises in the world. Already now, approximately one million Bangladeshis are displaced each year and losses amount to an estimated 1% of its gross domestic product.

“The rice season is not at the right time, none of the rains are. And when it does rain, it is far heavier. Everyone is affected. [...] I feel sad, this is the birthplace of our children and grandchildren. We have no future here. We may have to look for somewhere else to live.”

Abdul Zuffer, farmer in southern Bangladesh

Coastal drinking water supplies have been contaminated with salt, leaving people who rely on such resources vulnerable to health problems such as hypertension, pre-eclampsia during pregnancy, acute respiratory infections and skin diseases. Agriculture, the mainstay of the Bangladeshi economy, is badly affected and crops damaged by rising salinity are at increased risk from resulting soil degradation.

“Once this village was green with paddy fields. But now the water is salty, and the trees have died. [...] I am devastated when I think that I will have to move.”

Gopal Munda from Kara Mura, southern Bangladesh

At least 60% of the population face food risks. Land lost due to riverbank erosion is a primary cause of climate displacement inland. Those who live on Bangladesh’s river islands, known as chars, are especially at risk. Located within some of the world’s most powerful river systems, chars can be formed or completely eroded over weeks or even days.
“I came to Dhaka because of river erosion. We had nowhere to stay. [...] We were dependent on the river for our livelihood. Once there was a strong tide. Our home was flooded that night. We stayed on a bamboo platform. The night caused us great suffering. [...] One night the water came in. [...] Our house and all our land were washed away.”

Shoripa Bibi from Kalikabari Village, Borguna District

Repeated displacement of this kind has the potential to trap people in a cycle of poverty. Every year, an estimated 500,000 people move from rural areas to Dhaka, the Bangladeshi capital. Rapid and unplanned urban growth is contributing to overcrowding and increased pressures on infrastructure, services and resources.

“Cyclone Aila is devastating us one day, cyclone Sidr is tearing us apart on another day. We are disturbed by seeing the storms. [...] Certainly, we will have to go. But we cannot move until we are financially sufficient.”

Musamat Meherunesa, from Dumuria, Gabura

Certain factors that shape vulnerability, such as gender, ethnicity or legal status, can significantly increase exposure, susceptibility and affect the ability to cope with and recover from climate impacts. They are also closely related to increased risks of violence, exploitation and discrimination. For example, Bangladeshi women are among the first to face the impacts of climate change. They have less access to land, resources and decision-making processes than men, and their wages are lower, making it harder to survive post-displacement. They are bound by family responsibilities and therefore may remain in dangerous situations when disaster strikes. Bangladesh also hosts the world’s largest refugee camp, Kutupalong in Cox’s Bazar. Around one million refugees, mostly Rohingya Forcibly Displaced Myanmar Nationals, are exposed to a changing climate intersecting with weak institutions, public health challenges and other shocks and stresses. As a first step for policies that are effective in leaving no one behind, it is crucial that the most vulnerable are involved in a holistic and inclusive process.
A threatened culture: Sami people

The Arctic is warming up to four times as fast as the rest of the world.\textsuperscript{215} 2020 was the Arctic’s second-warmest year on record.\textsuperscript{236} Global heating is putting its unique ecosystem at risk, and with it the existence of Europe’s only recognised indigenous people, the Sami, who have lived in the Arctic for millennia. Their traditions, rights and very way of life are in jeopardy.\textsuperscript{217}

“There are only a few places in the world where the ground and the land and the water are left like it is today. And we have that. I cannot understand that people don’t put value into that [...] My daughter’s generation, they will say, ‘Why didn’t you save it?’ [...] There is always hope. [...] We should just stop being so afraid of changes.”

Maxida Mårač, Sami rights activist and artist\textsuperscript{218}

The Sami are native to Sápmi, which spans the northernmost parts of Sweden, Norway, Finland and Russia. Sápmi is home to an estimated 80,000 to 100,000 Sami.\textsuperscript{320} They identify as one distinct people irrespective of the national borders now in place across their land,\textsuperscript{220} and they have existed in harmony with nature as far back as recorded history through sustainable use of their land and natural resources.\textsuperscript{322} While Sami livelihoods have become more diverse, Sami identity and culture are anchored in the traditional practice of reindeer herding. They live semi-nomadically, following the seasonal migration patterns of reindeer as they move between winter and summer grazing grounds. This is the cornerstone of Sami culture and, for many Sami communities, the only way to survive in the Arctic.

“It all revolves around the reindeer. [...] there is a culture behind it. You are raised with it, it’s a part of your life.”

Lars-Ånte Kuhmunen, reindeer herder and Sami community leader, northern Sweden

The rapid warming of the Arctic is known as ‘Arctic amplification’ and is projected to strengthen in coming years,\textsuperscript{322} mainly due to sea ice melt feedback loops.\textsuperscript{322} Impacts are felt throughout the food web. When precipitation falls as rain rather than snow, as it does increasingly as a result of global warming,\textsuperscript{324} thick layers of ice form on the snow, preventing grazing herbivores such as moose or reindeer from reaching the vegetation they need for food.\textsuperscript{326} Unusually high temperatures above freezing are causing more frequent rain, which freezes on the ground. Reindeer often fail to break the ice, and thousands starve to death.\textsuperscript{326}
They have a very hard time finding food because of the weather changes. [...] it’s very hard for the reindeer to adapt to the conditions. [...] We are doing wrong. Everyone. We are destroying this planet.

Kenneth Pittja, reindeer herder and Sami community leader

The Sami also face encroachments onto their land from mining, energy generation, forestry and tourism. This competition for land use hampers their ability to be flexible and adapt to changing circumstances, such as searching for better summer grazing areas. It also often permanently alters the ecosystem. The Sami are acutely aware of climate change and the compounding competition for land use. Through the Sami Council and Parliament, they are calling for flexibility in their use of Sápmi land to keep their herds alive. Sami rights across Sápmi must be fully addressed by the four governments in control of Sápmi land, through consultation with Sami representatives.
Unequal emissions, unequal impacts

The failure of the major greenhouse gas emitters and advanced economies to address the climate emergency equitably jeopardises the fundamental rights of people within and beyond their territories and exacerbates inequalities even within the wealthiest countries. According to forecasts of the International Energy Agency, CO2 emissions will climb to record levels in 2023 under governments’ current Covid-19 recovery spending plans. In particular, the major emitters are failing to live up to their fair share and many of them are not on track to meet their current NDCs.

Inequality is increasing globally: economic disparities, impacts on the distribution of resources and an increasing inequality gap can be observed in both low-income and industrialised countries. The Working Group III part of the Sixth Assessment Report of the IPCC shows that the rich in every country produce more emissions than poorer populations, exacerbating inequalities within countries. In a large-scale global expert survey, approximately 70% of economists interviewed believe that global heating “is likely or extremely likely” to exacerbate inequality within the majority of countries.

A study found that households with the highest carbon footprint in the European Union (EU) are to a large extent those with the highest levels of income. In emerging economies, there is an even greater gap between the richest and poorest.

According to Oxfam and the Stockholm Environment Institute, the richest 10% of the world’s population was responsible for more than half of the cumulative carbon emissions from 1990 to 2015, whereas the poorest half was responsible for 7% in the same period. Roughly half the emissions of the richest 10% – constituting almost a quarter of global emissions – are related to citizens’ consumption in Canada, the USA and the EU.

By 2030, people in the wealthiest 1% of the global population will be responsible for per capita consumption emissions footprints that are 30 times higher than the global per capita level compatible with the Paris 1.5°C goal. In contrast, the footprints of the poorest half of the global population are set to remain below the 1.5°C-compatible level.

Chris Leboutillier / Unsplash
HISTORICAL RESPONSIBILITY

Fossil fuel emissions have quadrupled over the last 60 years. If emissions remain at current levels, the remaining carbon budget for a 50% probability of staying below 1.5°C would be used up within ten years from 2022 onwards. The UN Environment Programme Production Gap Report finds that countries plan to produce more than twice the amount of fossil fuel (110%) than would be compatible with the 1.5°C limit by the end of this century, on a global level. It highlights that G20 countries have directed more new funds towards fossil fuel activities than toward clean energy since the start of the pandemic. The G20 is responsible for around 75% of GHG (including land use change and forestry).

Countries plan to produce more than twice the amount of fossil fuel (110%) than would be compatible with the 1.5°C limit by the end of this century, on a global level.

National responsibility for historical CO2 emissions from 1850-2021 (Carbon Brief analysis, 05.10.2021). The national totals are based on cumulative national territorial CO2 emissions.
Countries that have historically contributed the least to greenhouse gas emissions – including Small Island Developing States (SIDS) and Least Developed Countries (LDCs) – are those suffering first and worst from the climate crisis. Approximately 60% of GHG emissions are produced by 10 countries, whereas less than 3% come from the 100 least-emitting countries. CO2 emissions resulting from fossil fuels still dominate total GHG emissions. In 2019, the world’s major CO2 emitters – China, the USA, India, the EU27+UK, Russia and Japan – together emitted 67% of total fossil CO2. 20 of the 36 highest emitting countries are, as a whole, among the least vulnerable to future negative climate impacts. Global heating has led to a 25% increase in economic between-country inequality over the past half century. It is critical that developing countries are not left behind in the transition to green economies. To ensure that everyone can enjoy a healthy environment, people and governments must have the means to mitigate, adapt to and recover from the climate crisis in a human rights-consistent manner.

Hold Businesses Accountable

Only 100 companies are responsible for 71% of all global industrial GHG emissions since 1988. States must protect human rights affected by actions of third parties, including business enterprises, and implement necessary measures, including action on climate-induced negative impacts on human rights. It is therefore key to incentivise best practice among corporate actors and to install a due diligence legislation which precisely addresses climate change, holds corporate and financial actors accountable, and ensures effective remedies.

Moreover, business enterprises should recognise their responsibility to do no harm. They should identify, prevent, mitigate and account for negative impacts on human rights, as the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights affirm, and develop company-wide pathways towards 1.5°C trajectories. This should include prevention and reduction of GHG emissions across value chains and operations, consultation of affected rights-holders, and the implementation of transparent strategies and measures in compliance with the objectives of the Paris Agreement and scientific evidence.

Flooding in Pibor, South Sudan. Credit: Gideon Sackitey / UNMISS (CC BY-NC-ND 2.0)
CASE STUDY

China: which path will the climate superpower take?

Since 2005, China has been the world’s largest CO2 emitter.\(^{377}\) Its carbon emissions accounted for 28% of total global emissions in 2019.\(^ {378}\) Nearly 90% of its emissions come from energy generation.\(^ {379}\) Globally, China’s energy generation and consumption surpasses all other countries,\(^ {380}\) and per capita energy consumption is still increasing.\(^ {381}\) The country runs a heavily fossil fuel reliant economy, with more than 65% of its electricity generated by coal (as of 2018).\(^ {382}\) Its total operating coal capacity is larger than all other countries combined.\(^ {383}\) President Xi Jinping has pledged that China will cease building coal plants abroad, but domestic coal plants are still being built – in the first half of 2021, China approved yet another 24 coal-fired power plants.\(^ {384}\)

Although China has committed to peak its emissions by 2030 and reach real zero by 2060, its near-term targets are not sufficient to reach these goals.\(^ {385}\) China has demonstrated a lack of clear trajectory to peak emissions, as well as a lack of publicly reliable statistics and policy assessment mechanisms. Furthermore, its goals centre around emissions intensity rather than total emissions, which harm chances of effectively reducing emissions and reaching real zero targets.\(^ {386}\)

While China’s pace of emissions reductions is slow, its climate is changing fast. Over the past century, the average temperature in China has increased by 0.9-1.5°C, coupled with increased spatial variation in the amount of precipitation.\(^ {387}\) The area of permafrost has reduced by 18.6% from 1983 to 2013,\(^ {388}\) and the rate of sea level rise along the coastline of China has been approximately 2.4-3.8 mm per year over the last decade.\(^ {389}\) A severe flood event at the Yangtze river basin in 2020 cost the country US$32 billion.\(^ {390}\) Within China’s limited arable land, nearly one third of the area is affected by climate-related disasters, resulting in crop failures.\(^ {391}\) Under a business-as-usual emissions scenario, the hazards of severe extreme events will gradually increase, including severe droughts, heat waves and floods.\(^ {392}\)

Droughts have been one of the major threats to China’s 300 million people dependent on agriculture.\(^ {393}\) China has long suffered from a lack of water: its freshwater resources per capita is 28% of the world average,\(^ {394}\) and more than half of its land is arid or semi-arid,\(^ {395}\) with a rapid expansion of semi-arid areas.\(^ {396}\) As global heating unfolds, droughts are expected to last longer, with increased severity and frequency. By 2030, over 60% of China’s 1.4 billion population could be affected by a 10% longer duration of agricultural droughts, while more than 95% of land could be hit by 10% more severe agricultural droughts.\(^ {397}\) The central and western parts of China are most impacted by changes in drought frequency, duration and intensity,\(^ {398}\) including areas with higher ecological vulnerability, areas with higher rates of poverty,\(^ {399}\) and autonomous regions of ethnic minorities.\(^ {400}\)

Older people are amongst the most vulnerable to climate effects due to their limited adaptive capacity.\(^ {401}\) In 2020, 18.7% of China’s population was above 60 years old.\(^ {402}\) This proportion is expected to grow faster in the coming years.\(^ {403}\) Heatwaves have direct causal effects on the rise of mortality rates, and this impact falls disproportionately on elderly people.\(^ {404}\) As the exposure time of heatwaves rises steadily, the mortality rate is also rising at a rate of an additional 1,000 deaths every one to two years.\(^ {405}\) Other health risks related to global heating include the increasing spread of dengue.\(^ {406}\)
From 1990 to 2020, the share of China’s population living in an urban centre has increased from 27% to 64%. Urbanisation leads to the increase of impervious surfaces and intensifies the effects of extreme precipitation. On 17 July 2021, record-breaking rainfall hit Henan, a province located south of the Yellow River. For the following three days, some 600 mm of rain fell in the capital city Zhengzhou, resulting in over 300 fatalities and dozens missing. In addition to precipitation, sea level rise also poses flooding threats to coastal cities. Approximately 11.4% of the Chinese population lives in cities in low-lying coastal zones. A sea level rise of 0.4 to 0.6 m is predicted along the coastline of China by the end of this century.

However, China can still alter its course: an analysis by the World Resources Institute found that China could prevent 1.9 million premature deaths and generate up to US$1 trillion in net economic and social benefits by 2050 if it applies more ambitious climate action – among other things, it is key to phase out coal as early as possible. China could become a pioneer in the global energy transition. This would provide jobs, reduce its dependency on coal and mitigate the climate crisis and its harmful effects. The world needs China to succeed if we are to keep global heating to 1.5°C and avoid the catastrophic impacts of unmitigated climate change.

By 2030, over 60% of China’s 1.4 billion population could be affected by a 10% longer duration of agricultural droughts, while more than 95% of land could be hit by 10% more severe agricultural droughts.
Towards human rights-consistent climate action

As illustrated in this report, the climate crisis is affecting the full range of rights recognised under international human rights law and it exacerbates inequities. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights declares that all human beings are entitled to have their rights and freedoms fully realised. Human rights are universal, inalienable, indivisible, interdependent and interrelated. States have obligations under international human rights law to mitigate the climate crisis: they must take immediate individual and collective action to the greatest extent possible to prevent current and future harms to human rights. They must also ensure that policies and measures are consistent with human rights obligations, standards and principles in order to respect, protect and fulfil human rights without discrimination. The Paris Agreement states:

"Failure to take measures to prevent foreseeable human rights harm caused by climate change, or to regulate activities contributing to such harm, could constitute a violation of States' human rights obligations."

Joint statement of five UN Human Rights Treaty Bodies

While the streets of Glasgow and across the world resounded with the calls of "climate justice now!" during COP26, government policy has not yet sufficiently embedded the protection of human rights in climate action.

"Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of indigenous peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity.”
Many governments are failing to engage their most affected communities. Other key gaps relate to adequate responses to loss and damage as a consequence of climate impacts, or an international agreement that clarifies the rights and ensures the protection of climate refugees. Climate change is unequivocally an international issue and states’ obligations and responsibilities, particularly of the major emitters, are relevant within their territory as well as beyond.\textsuperscript{402}

Not only must existing human rights instruments and mechanisms be consistently and coherently implemented and advanced, but cooperation between climate and human rights regimes should be holistically strengthened. In that regard, the creation of the mandate of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights in the context of climate change by the UN Human Rights Council is an important first step towards greater accountability for rights abuses linked to climate change and towards guidance for governments. To increase transparency and cooperation between climate and human rights regimes, the reporting on climate policy instruments could address, for instance, which persons or groups will be or are disproportionately affected and what measures the respective state will take.\textsuperscript{403}

\textbf{“The promises made in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration [of Human Rights] were explicitly to future as well as current generations. And yet we risk breaking those promises. […] We face a terrible paradox. Global challenges are more connected than ever, but our responses are more fragmented. Indeed, we have seen the emergence of multiple rifts – between powerful Member States, […] in our human solidarity with each other, between people and planet […].”}

\textit{António Guterres, United Nations Secretary-General}\textsuperscript{404}

Climate policies and practices should follow principles of justice and ensure that all persons have the capacity to adapt, establish accountability for negative human rights impacts and provide access to meaningful remedy.\textsuperscript{405} Effective adaptation can strengthen local economies as key sectors such as agriculture or health become more resilient. States should enhance transparent, equitable approaches to measure collective progress in countries’ adaptation efforts.\textsuperscript{406} The Paris Agreement calls upon states to support countries of the Global South both in climate mitigation and adaptation. In addition to providing technologies based on most recent scientific knowledge, scaled-up international public climate finance is critical.\textsuperscript{407} Currently, wealthy countries are not living up to the promised target of mobilising US$100 billion,\textsuperscript{408} and marginalise the debate on their fair shares.\textsuperscript{409} In 2019, climate finance for developing countries mobilised by developed countries totalled US$79.6 billion, according to an OECD analysis.\textsuperscript{410} The transparent and effective delivery of climate finance, and the closure of the finance gap accompanied by debt relief, is fundamental for developing economies’ transition towards a resilient and climate-neutral future, and to restore trust among the international community.\textsuperscript{411}

Human rights obligations, principles, standards and mechanisms are fundamental to account for different needs and vulnerabilities.\textsuperscript{412} They can help to clarify the obligation of states, particularly those with an inordinate contribution to the climate and environmental crisis, to adequately fight global heating and to ensure that climate practices do not violate human rights or exacerbate inequalities.\textsuperscript{413} To avert the worst climate impacts, only a narrow pathway is left. But the challenge can turn into a real chance for our societies. Equity and justice are the very foundations of meaningful, transformative climate action.
Industrialised countries must act fastest and aim for real zero GHG emissions by 2035 at the latest.

All states should set science-based short- and long-term GHG emissions reduction strategies and translate these into concrete action plans based on just, effective, cross-sectoral and integrated measures. They must adopt enhanced NDCs aligned with their fair share and responsibilities towards human rights, and the commitments under the UNFCCC, and they should aim for annual climate target ambition raising at each UN climate summit. NDCs should explicitly include the most vulnerable groups, specific risks and reference human rights.

Climate targets must be supported by concrete policies and long-term goals must be translated into real zero aligned 2030 targets. All states should implement a ‘whole of government’ approach which integrates human rights-based mitigation and adaptation into every political portfolio to drive transformative policies in key sectors – including, but not limited to:

- decarbonising economies and ending all new fossil fuel extraction based on a just transition, and rapidly phasing out existing production and climate-damaging subsidies;
- phasing out coal in the power sector by 2030, ensuring a resilient, sustainable and just recovery from the Covid-19 pandemic to facilitate a more sustainable and equitable future for all;\textsuperscript{451}
- rapidly expanding the renewables industry and creating an infrastructure fit-for-purpose to support energy generation and efficient distribution;
- conserving, restoring and prioritising nature-based solutions, such as forests, the ocean and wetlands, whilst ensuring the full protection and traditional usage rights of Indigenous peoples and local communities; and
- ensuring effective enforcement of environmental and climate standards against public and private actors.
Cooperation with third countries should be based on the principles of accountability, inclusiveness, transparency, equality and non-discrimination. Industrialised, wealthy countries should support, share and transfer financial resources, logistics and technology, as well as capacity building, according to their respective responsibilities.

Industrialised, developed countries must urgently mobilise and allocate maximum available resources to meet and surpass the agreed target of US$100 billion international climate finance annually for developing countries from 2020 up to 2024. They should aim to double public climate finance by 2025 and significantly increase annual sums from 2025 onwards. Climate finance should be transparent, new and additional to existing development assistance and other government efforts. It should also prioritise concessional and grant funding. Finance flows must be accessible and predictable, and additional resources should be made available for the most vulnerable communities. An independent annual monitoring mechanism should be installed. Industrialised countries should base new goals on scientific findings, agree on a strengthened reporting and accounting framework, and commit to a clear definition of climate finance.

All states must effectively and systematically address, avert and minimise loss and damage and advance the establishment of a robust, operational mechanism for the Santiago Network on Loss and Damage. Developed countries must deliver needs-based, large-scale, commensurate support, specifically including direct financial contributions, to address loss and damage based on specific financial commitments. Loss and damage funding must be new and additional, and should include the provision of effective finance prioritising grants, and the strengthening of risk management, climate information systems and social protection systems. Funds need to be identified and applied to support loss and damage across LDCs and should amount to a minimum of US$50 billion annually in the immediate term. Similar sums should be provided for low-income developing countries, including plans to significantly raise this amount.415

Countries must strengthen international cooperation to drive a transition towards a sustainable, fair and climate-smart future in accordance with the duty of international cooperation, and with special regard to the needs of the most vulnerable in a spirit of solidarity, fairness and responsibility.

Solar powered drip and conservation agriculture in Dhanghista, Ethiopia. Credit: Petra Schmitter / IWMI (CC BY-NC-ND 2.0)
All countries must ensure that climate policies, laws and practices are human rights-consistent, inclusive, intersectional and intergenerational, and that they benefit both people and planet.

- All states should incorporate human rights-based obligations, standards and principles in all climate-related measures. Stakeholders should provide information on how human rights are implemented and integrated into climate policies and laws as part of the reporting under the Paris Agreement to increase accountability.436

- A new legally binding international agreement is needed to protect climate refugees. This instrument is crucial to provide definition and status to climate refugees, to define rights and obligations, and to coordinate and combine actions so that they are truly effective in protecting the fundamental human right to a safe home.

- The UN Human Rights Council recognised the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment as a human right (Resolution 48/13). States should adopt national legislation recognising and implementing the right to a healthy environment.

- Climate mitigation, adaptation and disaster risk reduction, as well as relief measures, should be led by cross-sectoral, inclusive, evidence-based, context-sensitive and transparent approaches. Climate action must target both slow- and rapid-onset events and strengthen or upgrade key systems, such as health and food.

- States must provide effective and comprehensive access to information and facilitate meaningful, free and active participation for local, Indigenous, marginalised and disenfranchised members of society in line with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and actively engage them as experts and agents of change. Climate action should be designed and implemented with the full engagement and free, prior and informed consent of Indigenous Peoples and local communities.

- States should support the systematic assessment of climate impacts on populations and ensure that it reflects key characteristics of those affected or vulnerable to ensure, among other things, integrated human rights-based, context-sensitive and gender-responsive approaches.

- Timely access to justice and legal remedy for human rights harms related to climate change impacts and action must be ensured, and judicial and administrative procedural rules should be clarified.

- Possible effects of projects and policies on environmental and human rights must be carefully and transparently assessed and prevented.

- Possible effects of projects and policies on environmental and human rights must be carefully and transparently assessed and prevented.

- The important role of environmental human rights defenders must be acknowledged and a safe environment must be ensured in line with the UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders.437

- States should ensure that climate action delivers for the most vulnerable people and areas in line with human rights obligations and serving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals under the 2030 Agenda. Individual vulnerabilities, needs, perceptions, knowledge and capacities must be identified to capture and address the heterogeneity within and between countries. Climate action should target poverty, inequality, marginalisation, discrimination and specific vulnerabilities to make societies more just, sustainable and resilient.
“The international community needs to recognise that every person on this planet, no matter where they live, no matter what the colour of their skin, their gender, no matter what, has the right to live in a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. And that right to a healthy environment includes not only clean air, clean water, healthy food, it also includes non-toxic environments where people can live, work, study and play, it includes healthy ecosystems and biodiversity, and it includes the right to a safe climate.”

David R. Boyd, UN Special Rapporteur on human rights and the environment